

Online Safety Regulation in Other Jurisdictions

Australia E- Safety Commissioner

Description	Structure and membership	Functions	Individual complaints	Education
Independent	The eSafety Commissioner	Set out in section 15 of the Enhancing	Section 18 and section 19 of the	Statutory power set out in section 15
Statutory Office	(eSafety) is an independent	Online Safety Act 2015	Enhancing Online Safety Act 2015	(f) of the Enhancing Online Safety
	statutory office supported by		gives the Commissioner the power	Act 2015
Established	the Australian	(b) to promote online safety for	to investigate and act on complaints	
under the	Communications and Media	Australians; and	about serious cyberbullying material	(f)to support, encourage, conduct,
Enhancing	Authority (ACMA).	(c) to support and encourage the	targeted at an Australian child.	accredit and evaluate educational,
Online Safety		implementation of measures to		promotional and community
Act 2015	The Commission is made up of	improve online safety for Australians;	The Act establishes a two-tiered	awareness programs that are
	a Commissioner supported by	and	scheme for the removal of	relevant to online safety for
Initially powers	a Chief Operating Officer and	(d) to coordinate activities of	cyberbullying material from	Australians;
were related to	six teams:	Commonwealth Departments,	participating social media services.	
children and	 Internal strategy and 	authorities and agencies relating to		The Commission operates the
young people	futures	online safety for children; and	Section 9 defines a social media	Trusted eSafety Provider program
and in 2017, the	- Education, prevention	(e) to collect, analyse, interpret and	service as:	which is designed to give schools
Act was	and inclusion			confidence that the external online
amended to	- Investigations	disseminate information relating to	(a) an electronic service that	safety provider they engage with
expand the	 Legal and Compliance, 	online safety for Australians; and	satisfies the following conditions:	meets eSafety's online safety
Commissioner's	communications and	(f) to support, encourage, conduct,	(i) the sole or primary purpose of	education standards.
remit to	marketing, research	accredit and evaluate educational,	the service is to enable online social	
promoting and	 Technology and Digital 	promotional and community	interaction between 2 or more end	Trusted eSafety Providers are
enhancing	- Corporate			endorsed by eSafety only after



online safety for all Australians.

See the structure here.

Except for the Commissioner, all staff employed to undertake the functions of eSafety are staff of the Australian Communications and Media Authority (ACMA). awareness programs that are relevant to online safety for Australians; and

- (g) to make, on behalf of the Commonwealth, grants of financial assistance in relation to online safety for Australians; and
- h) to support, encourage, conduct and evaluate research about online safety for Australians; and
- (i) to publish (whether on the internet or otherwise) reports and papers relating to online safety for Australians; and
- (j) to give the Minister reports about online safety for Australians; and
- (k) to advise the Minister about online safety for Australians; and
- (I) to consult and cooperate with other persons, organisations and governments on online safety for Australians; and
- (m) to advise and assist persons in relation to their obligations under this Act; and
- (n) to monitor compliance with this Act; and
- (o) to promote compliance with this Act; and

users; (note does not incl an online business interaction)

- (ii) the service allows end users to link to, or interact with, some or all of the other end users;
- (iii) the service allows end users to post material on the service;
- (iv) such other conditions (if any) as are set out in the legislative rules; or
- (b) an electronic service specified in the legislative rules; but does not include an exempt service

Section 9(40 provides that a service is exempt if:

- (a) none of the material on the service is accessible to, or delivered to, one or more end users in Australia; or
- (b) the service is specified in the legislative rules.

Or under s9(5)the Commissioner may declare a service as exempt if they are satisfied that:

(a) an electronic service has controls on:

demonstrating their capability, experience and evidence-based online safety content. They are also required to comply with relevant safeguards for working with children. See more here.

The Commissioner has developed a suite of free e-training sessions available on their website here and classroom resources here.

- (p) to formulate, in writing, guidelines or statements that:
- (i) recommend best practices for persons and bodies involved in online safety for Australians; and
- (ii) are directed towards facilitating the timely and appropriate resolution of incidents involving cyber-bullying material targeted at an Australian child; and
- (q) to promote guidelines and statements formulated under paragraph (p)

Part 5A of the Enhancing Online Safety
Act 2015 establishes a civil penalties
scheme that allows the Commissioner
to deal with the removal of intimate
images or videos from online
platforms. In some cases, the
Commissioner may also be able to
take action against the person
responsible for the image-based
abuse.

The scheme gives eSafety the power to give enforceable removal notices to

- (i) who can access material, or who can be delivered material, provided on the service; or
- (ii) the material that can be posted on the service; and
- (b) those controls will be effective in achieving the result that none of the material provided on the service could be cyber bullying material targeted at an Australian child;

The two tiers of the scheme are subject to different levels of regulatory oversight:

Social media services participate in Tier 1 of the scheme on an opt-in basis.

Social media services that are declared Tier 2 services by the Minister for Communications may be subject to legally binding notices and civil penalties for non-compliance with requests from the Commissioner.

Any social media service may apply to eSafety to be declared a tier 1 service under section 23 of the Act.

social media services, websites, hosting providers and perpetrators, requiring the removal of intimate material.

The civil penalties scheme also gives eSafety a range of powers to take action against perpetrators, such as by:

- issuing a formal warning
- giving a remedial direction
- issuing an infringement notice
- accepting an enforceable undertaking
- seeking an injunction or civil penalty order in Court.

Codes of Practice

The Commissioner also has powers under Schedule 5 and Schedule 7 of the Broadcasting Services Act relating to industry codes of practice.

Under Schedule 5:

 Bodies and associations that represent the internet service If a complaint is made to a tier 1 service about cyberbullying material and the material is not removed within 48 hours (or other specified period), eSafety may issue the provider with a request to have the cyberbullying material removed from the service. If a tier 1 service repeatedly fails to comply with requests to remove material over a 12-month period, or eSafety is satisfied that the service does not comply with the basic online safety requirements, eSafety may revoke the service's tier 1 status and recommend that the Minister declare the service as a tier 2 service.

A social media service may be declared a tier 2 service by the Minister for Communications following a recommendation from eSafety.

If a complaint is made to a tier 2 social media service about cyberbullying material, and the material is not removed within 48



provider section of the internet industry may develop industry codes.

- Industry codes may be registered by the Commissioner.
- Compliance with an industry code is voluntary unless the Commissioner directs a particular participant in the internet industry to comply with the code.
- The Commissioner has a reserve power to make an industry standard if there are no industry codes or if an industry code is deficient.
- Compliance with industry standards is mandatory.
- Provision is made for complaints to be made to the Commissioner if a person believes the a registered industry code have been contravened (s25(a)) and the Commissioner has the power to investigate these under s28.
- Under s78 the Commissioner is to maintain Register of industry codes and industry standards

hours (or other specified period), eSafety may give the provider an enforceable social media service notice (under section 35 of the Act) to remove the content within 48 hours.

If a tier 2 provider does not comply with a social media service notice, civil penalties may be imposed, or eSafety may issue a formal warning. Under section 40 of the Act, eSafety may also draft and publish a notice on the eSafety website to that effect.

Under section 88(3) of the Act the provider of a tier 2 social media service may apply to the Administrative Appeals Tribunal to review any decision made by the Commissioner under section 35 to give the provider a social media service notice.

More details on this tier scheme are <u>here</u>

Illegal or Harmful online content

Under Schedule 7 a person may make a complaint to the Commissioner about prohibited content, or potential prohibited content, in relation to certain services.

The Commissioner may take the following action to deal with prohibited content or potential prohibited content:

(a) in the case of a hosting service—issue a take-down notice;

(b) in the case of a live content service—issue a service-cessation notice;

(c) in the case of a links service—issue a link-deletion notice.

The Commissioner also administers the Online Content Scheme under Schedule 5 and Schedule 7 of the <u>Broadcasting Services Act 1992</u>

Under this scheme, the Commissioner may investigate valid complaints about online content, and take action on material found to be prohibited or potentially prohibited. This includes child sexual abuse material.





Fiji Online Safety Commission

Description	Structure and membership	Functions	Individual complaints	Education
Online Safety Commission established by The Online Safety Act 2018	Commissioner appointed by Government see Part 2 ss6 of the Online Safety Act, Under ss6(3) the Commission consists of the Commissioner who is appointed by the Minister and may hold office for a term not exceeding 3 years, and is eligible for reappointment. Under ss6(5) The Commissioner may appoint such other staff as is necessary to assist the Commissioner in the performance of the Commission's functions under the Act.	Set out in Part 2 ss8 of the Online Safety Act to a. promote online safety b. organise awareness and education programmes, including the provision of online safety material c. receive complaints in relation to electronic communication that causes or intends to case harm d. assess and provide any advice in relation to any query or complaint submitted to the Commission e. to investigate complaint and seek to resolve such complaints as appropriate f. consult and work with relevant agencies, organisations or governments for the purpose of this Act g. advise the Minister on any issue or matter pertaining to online safety	Statutory complaints Statutory complaints mechanism set out in Part 2 ss8 of the Online Safety Act. Ss13 provides for the procedure to be followed when complaints are lodged with the Commission and sets out that an individual may lodge a complaint with the Commission if the have reason to believe that they are the subject or targeted recipient of electronic communication intended or likely to cause harm. Ss13(2) provides that a teacher, parent or school principal/ head teacher can lodge a complaint on behalf of a child. Ss14 grants the Commission to investigate complaints as the Commissioner sees fit and upon investigation of the complaint the Commissioner can - resolve the manner as appropriate - serve notice to the relevant person to request removal	While they have no legislative role in terms of promoting online education their mission is to promote responsible online behaviour and online safety particularly addressing online bullying, online stalking, internet trolling and exposure to offensive or harmful content in respect of children. They engage in community awareness among different communities and they also have information on online safety.



They have signed a memorandum of understanding with Fiji's law enforcement agency for the smoother reporting of online abuse.

Fiji's Online Safety Commission has recently formed an allegiance with Australia's Office of the eSafety Commissioner to tackle the growing concern of online abuse.

- of the content within a stipulated timeframe
- advise the person making the complaint that proceedings can be taken under ss17.

Where the person does not take down the content the Commission has the power to apply to the court for its removal under ss14(4)

Under ss17 a person (or parents/ guardian, teacher, policy and commission on their behalf) who suffered harm or may suffered harm as a result of an electronic communication can apply to the court for the following orders:

- Removal
- Correction
- Apology be published
- Order that person not send similar communications
- Order for compensation

Against an online host the court can order:

- Take down/ disable public access to the material



- Order for a correction to be
published
- That the identity of an
anonymous communication
be released to the court
- allowing a right of reply to
the applicant
A person is obliged to go the
Commission first before going to the
court under <u>ss18.</u>
In the absence of a reasonable
excuse, failure to comply with any of
the orders is an offence and upon
·
conviction, an individual is liable to a
maximum penalty of \$5,000 and/or
6 months imprisonment. For a body
corporate, the maximum penalty is
\$20,000 with \$10,000 and/or 1 year
imprisonment for a director,
manager or an officer that is in
charge for the time being.



New Zealand Netsafe

Description	Structure and membership	Functions	Individual complaints	Education
	NetSafe, an NGO that promotes	Section 8 of the Harmful Digital	Section 8 (a) and (b)of the Harmful	Section 8(e) of the Harmful Digital
Section 7 of	"confident, safe and responsible	Communications Act 2015 provides	Digital Communications Act 2015	Communications Act 2015 provides
the <u>Harmful</u>	use of online technologies", was	that the functions of the Approved	provide the legislative basis for the	that one of the functions of the
<u>Digital</u>	appointed as the "Approved	Agency are—	receiving and investigation of	Approved Agency (Netsafe) is to
<u>Communicati</u>	Agency" for the purposes of the	(a)to receive and assess complaints	complaints to Netsafe who can 'use	provide education and advice on
ons Act 2015	Act in May 2016 by the Minister	about harm caused to individuals by	advice, negotiation, mediation, and	policies for online safety and
provided for	for Justice.	digital communications:	persuasion (as appropriate) to	conduct on the Internet.
an approved		(b)to investigate complaints:	resolve complaints'.	
agency to be		(c)to use advice, negotiation,		They publish resources, guidance
appointed.	NetSafe has a number of	mediation, and persuasion (as	If the Agency decides not to take any	and support for parents and
Note there	functions that do not fall into its	appropriate) to resolve complaints:	further action on a complaint, it	teachers on their website.
was also an	statutory remit including an	(d)to establish and maintain	must notify the complainant of the	
option under	information helpline and an	relationships with domestic and	right to apply to the District Court	
the	education and engagement	foreign service providers, online	for an order.	
legislation to	function.	content hosts, and agencies (as		
appoint a		appropriate) to achieve the purpose of	Under s11 proceedings can be	
Department	The statutory function relates	this Act:	brought by a person affected, a	
or Crown	only to individual complaints	(e)to provide education and advice on	parent, school leader or police. The	
entity.	under the Harmful Digital	policies for online safety and conduct	District Court must be satisfied that	
	Communications Act 2015.	on the Internet.	a)there has been a threatened	
			serious breach, a serious breach,	
	They have a six person senior		or a repeated breach of 1 or more	
	leadership team responsible to		communication principles; and	
	the Board of Management. One		(b)the breach has caused or is	
	of the teams is responsible for		likely to cause harm to an	
	managing Netsafe's harmful		individual;	
	digital communication service.		marviaum,	



before granting an order.
Under section 19 the District Court
may order:
(a)
an order to take down or disable
material:
(b)
an order that the defendant cease or
refrain from the conduct concerned:
(c)
an order that the defendant not
encourage any other persons to
engage in similar communications
towards the affected individual:
(d)
an order that a correction be
published:
(e)
an order that a right of reply be
given to the affected individual:
(f)
an order that an apology be
published.
Against an online host the District
court may make:
(a)
(υ)



			Ţ
		an order to take down or disable	
		public access to material that has	
		been posted or sent:	
		(b)	
		an order that the identity of the	
		author of an anonymous or	
		pseudonymous communication be	
		released to the court:	
		(c)	
		an order that a correction be	
		published in any manner that the	
		court specifies in the order:	
		(d)	
		an order that a right of reply be	
		given to the affected individual in	
		any manner that the court specifies	
		in the order.	
		Under s21 a person who does not	
		comply with an order is liable for:	
		(a)	
		in the case of a natural person,	
		imprisonment for a term not	
		exceeding 6 months or a fine not	
		exceeding \$5,000:	
		(b)	
		in the case of a body corporate, a	
		fine not exceeding \$20,000.	
		3 4 - 5/5 - 5 - 5	
L	1		1

